

ARGUMENT

An expert's point of view on a current event.

When Will Trump and Hillary Use the K-Word?

The crisis in Kashmir is starting to boil over — and the next U.S. president isn't going to be able to avoid intervening.

By [Sreeram Chaulia](#)

SEPTEMBER 22, 2016, 2:57 PM

This year's grueling U.S. presidential campaign has had the occasion to discuss the Ku Klux Klan, Tim Kaine, and Don King, but not the K-word that every citizen of South Asia instantly knows by shorthand: Kashmir. In all likelihood, Americans will soon recognize this as a costly omission. Whoever wins the White House in November will likely be forced, early in his or her administration, to address a crisis that is quickly coming to a head — one involving jihadi terrorism, nuclear weapons, and military conflict, with the potential to unsettle American allies and interests across Asia.

Kashmir is the unresolved business of India's bloody partition in 1947, when the departing British colonialists carved out the new nation-state of Pakistan for the Muslims of the subcontinent. A large part of Muslim-majority Kashmir remained a part of India while Pakistan seized a smaller portion, leaving it as a major bone of contention and the site of multiple wars between the two countries. Seventy years have passed, but there is no end in sight to the India-Pakistan rivalry to reclaim the whole of the territory.

The root causes of the present crisis in Kashmir are political changes outside that restive region. Pakistan has always been a conventionally smaller power than India, but in recent years it has seen its neighbor's economic and diplomatic power grow rapidly, especially relative to its own stagnation. Pakistan has tried to stay relevant by using all means to up the ante against Indian control of Kashmir. In the past two months, there has been a sustained separatist upheaval in Indian-administered Kashmir, with street battles between alienated local Muslim youth who demand secession or integration with Pakistan and Indian security forces in riot-control gear. On Sept. 18, four heavily armed jihadi militants ambushed an Indian Army camp in the Kashmiri town of Uri, near the Line of Control separating the region between India and Pakistan, and killed 18 soldiers. It was the worst such cross-border incident in years.

Pakistan has tried to stay relevant by using all means to up the ante against Indian control of Kashmir.

An outraged Indian government rightly believes the civilian unrest and armed extremist attacks in Indian Kashmir are the handiwork of the Pakistani intelligence and military. Most of the world tends to agree. Islamabad's efforts to raise the "Kashmir problem" in international forums are mostly being met with shrugs and snubs. Pakistani Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif has appointed nearly two dozen emissaries to lobby world capitals to galvanize opposition to India's "occupation" of Kashmir and has also been ratcheting up mentions of the "K" word at the U.N. General Assembly — but to no avail. Most of the international community, barring some countries in the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation, is saying that if Kashmir matters at all, it is not as a freedom struggle but as a flash point for jihadis acting on Pakistan's behest.

But the U.S. presidential contenders seem not to be moved by the present crisis at all. India and Pakistan, governments and citizens alike, have been scrutinizing Democratic nominee Hillary Clinton and her Republican rival, Donald Trump, for signals of how they would fashion their South Asia policies. The Democratic Party's platform [promises](#) to maintain President Barack Obama's definite tilt toward India — "the world's largest democracy, a nation of great diversity, and an important Pacific power" — while continuing to apply pressure on Pakistan to "deny terrorists sanctuary" on its soil. The Republican Party's platform [echoes](#) similar sentiments to its counterpart by calling India a "geopolitical ally and a strategic trading partner" while wryly noting about Pakistan that a "working relationship is ... necessary, though sometimes difficult." Republicans also call for "ridding the region of the Taliban and securing Pakistan's nuclear arsenal," highlighting American anxieties about Islamabad's status as a sponsor of jihadis and host to the world's fastest-growing nuclear weapons arsenal.

This is all part of a tendency by the U.S. government to view India and Pakistan primarily as potential partners that can serve American interests in Asia, with India considered the preferred alternative and Pakistan seen as a problem to be

managed. Washington favors abstracting from the Kashmir crisis in service of its own regional interests, rather than addressing it in specific terms. But to that extent, its approach to crisis diplomacy there has not kept pace with events. The United States still feigns a studied neutrality in Kashmir, when it should be actively intervening in a way to clear a path for its deepening alliance with India.

Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi has been leveraging the country's soft and hard power to isolate Pakistan on Kashmir. Yet, as the Uri attack demonstrated, marginalizing Pakistan on Kashmir is not enough to shut down Islamabad's jihadi proxies or mitigate civilian disenchantment in Indian-administered Kashmir. Moreover, Modi is sensitive enough to public opinion in India that he feels compelled to retaliate each time Pakistani-trained jihadis cross over the Line of Control and perpetrate brutal violence on Indian soldiers and civilians. Options including the "hot pursuit" of terrorists inside Pakistani territory and the assassinations of jihadi leaders are not off the table in New Delhi. All of which suggests the Kashmir crisis will get much hotter in the time ahead.

Already, the Indian prime minister has delivered a tit-for-tat blow to Islamabad by mounting a diplomatic and unmentioned covert action strategy to support anti-Pakistani separatists in the war-torn Pakistani province of Baluchistan. The Pakistani military establishment, for its part, has traumatic memories of the Indian military intervention that severed Pakistan in 1971 and birthed the new nation of Bangladesh. Modi's strategy of hitting Pakistan where it hurts, i.e., in regions where the state's control is shaky, is bound to have a reflex reaction in the form of intensified Pakistani-inspired protests and attacks in Indian Kashmir.

It would be an understatement that matters in Kashmir are coming to a head after a few years of quiet. A relatively weakened and cornered Pakistan, like North Korea, is also a more dangerous Pakistan. Something's going to give, and when it does, it won't just be Kashmir on the line. Another full-scale or quasi-war over Kashmir is sure to have spillover effects, as India and Pakistan are both nuclear-armed and share a tense international border, along which the populous provinces of Punjab, Sindh, Gujarat, and Rajasthan lie. Hopes of containing the Taliban in Afghanistan will be set back if Pakistan returns to total war with India, since Islamabad would view domination over Kabul via a resurgent Taliban as necessary for "strategic depth" with respect to India. Kashmir is a trigger for mayhem in South Asia.

A relatively weakened and cornered Pakistan, like North Korea, is also a more dangerous Pakistan.

Clinton and Trump cannot ignore this tinderbox. The winner of their contest will have to find a way to tamp down the terrorism emanating from Pakistan that has made Kashmir a simmering hotbed. But assuaging the interrelated fears and concerns of both Pakistan and India will require sustained American strategy — not the diplomatic clichés devoid of substance that have long marked Washington's policy prescriptions.

What's clear is that Pakistan would like formal U.S. mediation on the territorial dispute in Kashmir, which is precisely what India will not countenance. Creative diplomats, however, can create confidence-building measures to help break that impasse. If a President Clinton or Trump uses civilian and military aid as a lever to pressure Pakistan to have its jihadi allies in Kashmir cease their attacks, Modi can then be persuaded to ease the massive Indian military presence that antagonizes civilians in Kashmir and can encourage more democratic administration in the restive region.

By pressing Pakistan to abandon its proxy war in Kashmir and reduce its hostility toward India, Obama's successor can meet America's bigger need for a strong Indian strategic partner that can counterbalance China in Asia. But that will first require that he or she diagnoses early on the true roots of the current Kashmir crisis and realizes that it is building up to explode.

Photo credit: TAUSEEF MUSTAFA/AFP/Getty Images

Sreeram Chaulia is a professor and dean at the Jindal School of International Affairs, O.P. Jindal Global University, in Sonapat, India. His most recent book, *Trumped: Emerging Powers in a Post-American World*, was published in 2019. He tweets on global economic and political developments at: @sreeramchaulia.

TAGS: INDIA, PAKISTAN, UNITED STATES

```
function(e,t,n,s,u,a){e.twq||(s=e.twq=function(){s.exe?s.exe.apply(s,arguments):s.queue.push(arguments);
},s.version='1.1',s.queue=[],u=t.createElement(n),u.async=!0,u.src="//static.ads-twitter.com/uwt.js",
a=t.getElementsByTagName(n)[0],a.parentNode.insertBefore(u,a))(window,document,'script'); // Insert Twitter Pixel ID and
Standard Event data below twq('init','nzlz2'); twq('track','PageView');
{"@context":"http://schema.org","publisher":{"@type":"Organization","name":"Foreign
Policy","url":"https://foreignpolicy.com","logo":{"@type":"ImageObject","height":60,"url":"https://foreignpolicy.com/wp-
content/uploads/2016/04/logo.png","width":74},"@type":"NewsArticle","mainEntityOfPage":
{"@type":"WebPage","@id":"https://foreignpolicy.com/2016/09/22/when-will-trump-and-hillary-use-the-k-word-
kashmir-pakistan-trump-clinton/"},"headline":"When Will Trump and Hillary Use the K-Word?","description":"The crisis in
Kashmir is starting to boil over \u2014 and the next U.S. president isn\u2019t going to be able to avoid
intervening."},"Articlebody":"<p class=\"p1\"><span class=\"s1\">This year\u2019s grueling U.S. presidential campaign has had
the occasion to discuss the Ku Klux Klan, Tim Kaine, and Don King, but not the K-word that every citizen of South Asia
instantly knows by shorthand: Kashmir. In all likelihood, Americans will soon recognize this as a costly omission. Whoever
wins the White House in November will likely be forced, early in his or her administration, to address a crisis that is quickly
coming to a head \u2014 one involving jihadi terrorism, nuclear weapons, and military conflict, with the potential to unsettle
American allies and interests across Asia.</span></p><r\n<p class=\"p1\"><span class=\"s1\">Kashmir is the unresolved
business of India\u2019s bloody partition in 1947, when the departing British colonialists carved out the new nation-state of
Pakistan for the Muslims of the subcontinent. A large part of Muslim-majority Kashmir remained a part of India while
Pakistan seized a smaller portion, leaving it as a major bone of contention and the site of multiple wars between the two
countries. Seventy years have passed, but there is no end in sight to the India-Pakistan rivalry to reclaim the whole of the
territory.</span></p><r\n<p class=\"p1\"><span class=\"s1\">The root causes of the present crisis in Kashmir are political
changes outside that restive region. Pakistan has always been a conventionally smaller power than India, but in recent years
it has seen its neighbor\u2019s economic and diplomatic power grow rapidly, especially relative to its own stagnation.<span
class=\"pull-quote\">Pakistan has tried to stay relevant by using all means to up the ante against Indian control of Kashmir.
</span> In the past two months, there has been a sustained separatist upheaval in Indian-administered Kashmir, with street
battles between alienated local Muslim youth who demand secession or integration with Pakistan and Indian security forces
in riot-control gear.\u00a0On Sept. 18, four heavily armed jihadi militants ambushed an Indian Army camp in the Kashmiri
town of Uri, near the Line of Control separating the region between India and Pakistan, and killed 18 soldiers.\u00a0It was
the worst such cross-border incident in years.</span></p><r\n<p class=\"p1\"><span class=\"s1\">An outraged Indian
government rightly believes the civilian unrest and armed extremist attacks in Indian Kashmir are the handiwork of the
Pakistani intelligence and military. Most of the world tends to agree. Islamabad\u2019s efforts to raise the \u201cKashmir
problem\u201d in international forums are mostly being met with shrugs and snubs. Pakistani Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif
has appointed nearly two dozen emissaries to lobby world capitals to galvanize opposition to India\u2019s
\u201coccupation\u201d of Kashmir and has also been ratcheting up mentions of the \u201cK\u201d word at the U.N. Gener
Assembly \u2014 but to no avail.\u00a0Most of the international community, barring some countries in the Organisation of
Islamic Cooperation, is saying that if Kashmir matters at all, it is not as a freedom struggle but as a flash point for jihadis
acting on Pakistan\u2019s behalf.</span></p><r\n<p class=\"p1\"><span class=\"s1\">But the U.S. presidential contenders
seem not to be moved by the present crisis at all. India and Pakistan, governments and citizens alike, have been scrutinizing
Democratic nominee Hillary Clinton and her Republican rival, Donald Trump, for signals of how they would fashion their
South Asia policies. The Democratic Party\u2019s platform <a href=\"https://www.demconvention.com/wp-
content/uploads/2016/07/democratic-party-platform-7.21.16-no-lines.pdf\"><span class=\"s2\">promises</span></a>
to maintain President Barack Obama\u2019s definite tilt toward India \u2014 the world\u2019s largest democracy, a
nation of great diversity, and an important Pacific power \u2014 while continuing to apply pressure on Pakistan to
\u201cdeny terrorists sanctuary\u201d on its soil. The Republican Party\u2019s platform <a href=\"https://prod-static-ngo-
pbl.s3.amazonaws.com/media/documents/draft_12_final%255b1%255d-ben_1468872234.pdf\"><span
class=\"s2\">echoes</span></a> similar sentiments to its counterpart by calling India a \u201cgeopolitical ally and a strategi
trading partner\u201d while wryly noting about Pakistan that a \u201cworking relationship is \u2026 necessary, though
sometimes difficult.\u201d Republicans also call for \u201ccridding the region of the Taliban and securing Pakistan\u2019s
nuclear arsenal,\u201d highlighting American anxieties about Islamabad\u2019s status as a sponsor of jihadis and host to the
world\u2019s fastest-growing nuclear weapons arsenal.</span></p><r\n<p class=\"p1\"><span class=\"s1\">This is all part of a
tendency by the U.S. government to view India and Pakistan primarily as potential partners that can serve American interes
in Asia, with India considered the preferred alternative and Pakistan seen as a problem to be managed.\u00a0Washington
favors abstracting from the Kashmir crisis in service of its own regional interests, rather than addressing it in specific terms.
But to that extent, its approach to crisis diplomacy there has not kept pace with events. The United States still feigns a studi
neutrality in Kashmir, when it should be actively intervening in a way to clear a path for its deepening alliance with India.
</span></p><r\n<p class=\"p1\"><span class=\"s1\">Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi has been leveraging the
```

country's soft and hard power to isolate Pakistan on Kashmir. Yet, as the Uri attack demonstrated, marginalizing Pakistan on Kashmir is not enough to shut down Islamabad's jihadi proxies or mitigate civilian disenchantment in Indian-administered Kashmir. Moreover, Modi is sensitive enough to public opinion in India that he feels compelled to retaliate each time Pakistani-trained jihadis cross over the Line of Control and perpetrate brutal violence on Indian soldiers and civilians. Options including the hot pursuit of terrorists inside Pakistani territory and the assassinations of jihadi leaders are not off the table in New Delhi. All of which suggests the Kashmir crisis will get much hotter in the time ahead.

Already, the Indian prime minister has delivered a tit-for-tat blow Islamabad by mounting a diplomatic and unmentioned covert action strategy to support anti-Pakistani separatists in the war-torn Pakistani province of Baluchistan. The Pakistani military establishment, for its part, has traumatic memories of the Indian military intervention that severed Pakistan in 1971 and birthed the new nation of Bangladesh. Modi's strategy of hitting Pakistan where it hurts, i.e., in regions where the state's control is shaky, is bound to have a reflex reaction in the form of intensified Pakistani-inspired protests and attacks in Indian Kashmir.

It would be an understatement that matters in Kashmir are coming to a head after a few years of quiet. A relatively weakened and cornered Pakistan, like North Korea, is also a more dangerous Pakistan. Something's going to give, and when it does, it won't just be Kashmir on the line. Another full-scale or quasi-war over Kashmir is sure to have spillover effects, as India and Pakistan are both nuclear-armed and share a tense international border, along which the populous provinces of Punjab, Sindh, Gujarat, and Rajasthan lie. Hopes of containing the Taliban in Afghanistan will be set back if Pakistan returns to total war with India, since Islamabad would view domination over Kabul via a resurgent Taliban as necessary for strategic depth with respect to India. Kashmir is a trigger for mayhem in South Asia.

Clinton and Trump cannot ignore this tinderbox. The winner of their contest will have to find a way to tamp down the terrorism emanating from Pakistan that has made Kashmir a simmering hotbed. But assuaging the interrelated fears and concerns of both Pakistan and India will require sustained American strategy not the diplomat cliché devoid of substance that have long marked Washington's policy prescriptions.

What's clear is that Pakistan would like formal U.S. mediation on the territorial dispute in Kashmir, which is precisely what India will not countenance. Creative diplomats, however, can create confidence-building measures to help break that impasse. If a President Clinton or Trump uses civilian and military aid as a lever to pressure Pakistan to have its jihadi allies in Kashmir cease their attacks, Modi can then be persuaded to ease the massive India military presence that antagonizes civilians in Kashmir and can encourage more democratic administration in the restive region.

By pressing Pakistan to abandon its proxy war in Kashmir and reduce its hostility toward India, Obama's successor can meet America's bigger need for a strong Indian strategic partner that can counterbalance China in Asia. But that will first require that he or she diagnoses early on the true roots of the current Kashmir crisis and realizes that it is building up to explode.

Photo credit: TAUSEEF MUSTAFA/AFP/Getty Images

```
</p>","datePublished":"2016-09-22T14:57:50+00:00","dateModified":"2016-09-22T17:12:46+00:00","url":"https://foreignpolicy.com/2016/09/22/when-will-trump-and-hillary-use-the-k-word-kashmir-pakistan-trump-clinton/","author":{"@type":"Person","name":"Sreeram Chaulia","url":"https://foreignpolicy.com/author/sreeram-chaulia/"},"image":{"@type":"ImageObject","url":"https://foreignpolicy.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/gettyimages-603574714.jpg?w=960","height":460,"width":960,"isAccessibleForFree":"False","hasPart":{"@type":"WebPageElement","isAccessibleForFree":"False","cssSelector:".content-gated"}}!function(b,e,f,g,a,c,d){b.fbq||(b.fbq=function(){a.callMethod?a.callMethod.apply(a,arguments):a.queue.push(arguments)};b._fbq||(b._fbq=a,a.push=a,a.loaded=!0,a.version="2.0",a.queue=[],c=e.createElement(f),c.async=!0,c.src=g,d=e.getElementsByTagName(f)[0],d.parentNode.insertBefore(c,d))(window,document,"script","https://connect.facebook.net/en_US/fbevents.js");fbq("init","203988873637408");fbq("set","agency");var fs=window.RequestFileSystem||window.webkitRequestFileSystem;fs&&fs(window.TEMPORARY,100,function(a){dataLayer.push({event:"browsermode normal"});function(b){dataLayer.push({event:"browsermode incognito"})};(function(){function b(){!1===c&&(c=!0,Munchkin.init("509-MOL-281"))}var c=!1,a=document.createElement("script");a.type="text/javascript";a.async=!0;a.src="//munchkin.marketo.net/munchkin.js";{"complete"!this.readyState&&"loaded"!this.readyState||b());a.onload=b;document.getElementsByTagName("head")[0].appendChild(a)});var oPageData={"post_type":"post","post_id":"887259","url":"/2016/09/22/when-will-trump-and-hillary-use-the-k-word-kashmir-pakistan-trump-clinton","env":"production"};var customAlertsLocal={"wpNonce":"28568f9a29"};var fpUtilsLoc={"isDev":"","redirectUri":"https://foreignpolicy.com/share/redirect/"};var pianoData={"cache_group_nonce":"ef1bdbd8a3","use_piano_environment":"production","userLevels":{"isReg":false,"isSub":false,"isInsider":false,"isPremium":false,"isIspyPlus":false,"isIPAccess":false},"wpNonce":"28568f9a29"}
```

```
var postDataPiano = ["India","Pakistan","United States","article"];
var hostURL = "foreignpolicy.com";
var onboardingLocal = {"wpNonce":"28568f9a29","hostURL":"foreignpolicy.com","hostProtocol":"https"};
var takeoverSettings = [{"subscription_level":["NONSUB"],"id":1086954,"qualifiers":
["subscription_level"],"takeover_html":"\n<div id=\"takeover-1086954\" class=\"takeover takeover-type-slider slider-right
device-all\" data-takeover-type=\"slider\">\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-content-1086954\" class=\"takeover-content takeover-
animation-right bg-dark-grey\">\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-close_button-1086954\" class=\"takeover-close-1086954 takeover-close
takeover-close--button\">\u00d7</div>\n\t\n<div>\n<div class=\"takeover-image-container\" style=\"background-image:
url('https://foreignpolicy.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/summer-print-roll-out-2022-sale-slide-700x236-1.png');\"
</div>\n</div>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-content-wrapper\">\n<h1>Summer Sale: Save 50%</h1>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeove
body-content\">\n\t\nIn a world that is ever-changing, understanding global conflicts, economics, debates, and overall news ca
feel like an impossible task. Reading FP makes it feel significantly less so. But don't just take our word for it: Try one month o
access at half the price. Enter promo code FPSUMMER22 to claim this rate for your first 12 months. Cancel anytime.
</div>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-body-content\">\n\t\n<a href=\"https://foreignpolicy.com/subscribe\"/?
utm_source=house&#038;utm_medium=modal&#038;utm_campaign=fpsummer22_monthly\" class=\"takeover-cta\"
id=\"takeover-cta-1086954\" target=\"_blank\">JOIN TODAY</a>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-body-content\">\n\t\n
</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-close_text-1086954\" class=\"takeover-close-1086954 takeover-
close\">Close</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n","integration":
{"integration_type":"none","postup_list_id":"","salesforce_lead_source":"","salesforce_return_url":"","template":"slider","devi
{"ip_access_guids":
["4a2c3636504040a0b9ff93674be8eca8","74d1583ba60b456685aff770a2522c49","512fc78abe0843cf807572f06ec31a16","e4f45
["ip_access"],"takeover_html":"\n<div id=\"takeover-1086607\" class=\"takeover takeover-type-slider slider-right device-all\"
data-takeover-type=\"slider\">\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-content-1086607\" class=\"takeover-content takeover-animation-ri
bg-black\">\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-close_button-1086607\" class=\"takeover-close-1086607 takeover-close takeover-close--
button\">\u00d7</div>\n\t\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-content-wrapper\">\n\t\n<h1>Take our FP Insider reader
survey\u00a0</h1>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-body-content\">\n\t\nHow are we doing? What do you want to see us develop
next? Please consider taking a brief survey about the Insider experience. Please consider sharing your feedback by taking thi
short, 5-to-10-minute survey . We value your feedback and are grateful for your support of FP. </div>\n\t\n<div
class=\"takeover-body-content\">\n\t\n<a href=\"http://www.surveymonkey.com/r/6XKZRFL?uid=%5Bip%5D\"
class=\"takeover-cta\" id=\"takeover-cta-1086607\" target=\"_blank\">GIVE FEEDBACK</a>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n<div
class=\"takeover-body-content\">\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-close_text-1086607\" class=\"takeover-close-
1086607 takeover-close\">Close</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n","integration":
{"integration_type":"none","postup_list_id":"","salesforce_lead_source":"","salesforce_return_url":"","template":"slider","devi
{"subscription_level":["FPINSIDER"],"id":1086598,"qualifiers":["subscription_level"],"takeover_html":"\n<div id=\"takeover-
1086598\" class=\"takeover takeover-type-slider slider-right device-all\" data-takeover-type=\"slider\">\n\t\n<div
id=\"takeover-content-1086598\" class=\"takeover-content takeover-animation-right bg-black\">\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-
close_button-1086598\" class=\"takeover-close-1086598 takeover-close takeover-close--button\">\u00d7</div>\n\t\n\t\n<div
class=\"takeover-content-wrapper\">\n\t\n<h1>Take our FP Insider reader survey\u00a0</h1>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-body-
content\">\n\t\nHow are we doing? What do you want to see us develop next? Please consider taking a brief survey about the
Insider experience. Please consider sharing your feedback by taking this short, 5-to-10-minute survey . We value your
feedback and are grateful for your support of FP. </div>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-body-content\">\n\t\n<a
href=\"https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/8J9PT9L?uid=%5Bconsumer%5D\" class=\"takeover-cta\" id=\"takeover-cta-
1086598\" target=\"_blank\">GIVE FEEDBACK</a>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n<div class=\"takeover-body-content\">\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n
</div>\n\t\n<div id=\"takeover-close_text-1086598\" class=\"takeover-close-1086598 takeover-
close\">Close</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n</div>\n\t\n","integration":
{"integration_type":"none","postup_list_id":"","salesforce_lead_source":"","salesforce_return_url":"","template":"slider","devi
var chartbeatData = {"category_name":"Argument","author_list":"Sreeram Chaulia"};
var myFpAlertsLoc = {"isVIPEnv":"1"};
var fpNativeAdData = [];
var zMoatADVERTISER = {"moatPassback":"editorial"};
var jetpackLazyImagesL10n = {"loading_warning":"Images are still loading. Please cancel your print and try again."};
_stq = window._stq || []; _stq.push(['view', {v:'ext',j:'1:11.2',blog:'162972146',post:'887259',tz:'-4',srv:'foreignpolicy.com',hp:'vip'}
]); _stq.push(['clickTrackerInit', '162972146', '887259' ]);
/*<i[CDATA[*/ window.lightboxjs || function (c) { function g(b, d) { d && (d += (/?.test(d) ? "& " : "?") + "lv=1"); c[b] || function ()
var i = window, h = document, j = b, g = h.location.protocol, l = "load", k = 0; (function () { function b() { a.P(l); a.w = 1; c[j]
("_load") } c[j] = function () { function m() { m.id = e; return c[j].apply(m, arguments) } var b, e = ++k; b = this && this != i ? this.i
|| 0 : 0; (a.s = a.s || []).push([e, b, arguments]); m.then = function (b, c, h) { var d = a.fh[e] = a.fh[e] || [], j = a.eh[e] = a.eh[e] || [], f =
```

```
a.ph[e] = a.ph[e] || []; b && d.push(b); c && j.push(c); h && f.push(h); return m }; return m }; var a = c[j]._ = {}; a.fh = {}; a.eh = {};
a.ph = {}; a.l = d ? d.replace(/^\/\//, (g == "https:" ? g : "http:") + "///") : d; a.p = { 0: +new Date }; a.P = function (b) { a.p[b] = new
Date - a.p[0] }; a.w && b(); i.addEventListener ? i.addEventListener(l, b, !1) : i.attachEvent("on" + l, b); var q = function () {
function b() { return ["<head></head><", c, ' onload="var d=', n, ";d.getElementsByTagName('head')[0].", d, "(d.", g, "('script')".
i, "=" , a.l, ""></", c, ">"].join("") } var c = "body", e = h[c]; if (!e) return setTimeout(q, 100); a.P(1); var d = "appendChild", g =
"createElement", i = "src", k = h[g]("div"), l = k[d](h[g]("div")), f = h[g]("iframe"), n = "document", p; k.style.display = "none";
e.insertBefore(k, e.firstChild).id = o + "-" + j; f.frameBorder = "0"; f.id = o + "-frame-" + j; /MSIE[ ]+6/.test(navigator.userAgent)
&& (f[i] = "javascript:false"); f.allowTransparency = "true"; l[d](f); try { f.contentWindow[n].open() } catch (s) { a.domain =
h.domain, p = "javascript:var d=" + n + ".open();d.domain=" + h.domain + ";", f[i] = p + "void(0);" } try { var r =
f.contentWindow[n]; r.write(b()); r.close() } catch (t) { f[i] = p + 'd.write(' + b().replace(/"/g, String.fromCharCode(92) + "'') +
'');d.close();' } a.P(2) }; a.l && q() )() ); c[b].lv = "1"; return c[b] } var o = "lightboxjs", k = window[o] = g(o); k.require = g;
k.modules = c }({}); /*>*/
window.lightboxlib = lightboxjs.require("lightboxlib", "///www.lightboxcdn.com/vendor/044b8435-d6a0-427d-af56-
eec8f6ae795a/lightbox.js?mb=" + (new Date().getTime()));
mendeleyWebImporter = { downloadPdfs(e,t) { return this._call('downloadPdfs', [e,t]); }, open() { return this._call('open', []); },
setLoginToken(e) { return this._call('setLoginToken', [e]); }, _call(methodName, methodArgs) { const id = Math.random();
window.postMessage({ id, token: '0.6135109758440409', methodName, methodArgs }, 'https://foreignpolicy.com'); return new
Promise(resolve => { const listener = window.addEventListener('message', event => { const data = event.data; if (typeof data !=
'object' || !(result in data) || data.id != id) return; window.removeEventListener('message', listener); resolve(data.result); }); }
});
```



```
var _sf_async_config=_sf_async_config||
{};_sf_async_config.uid=62828;_sf_async_config.domain="foreignpolicy.com";_sf_async_config.useCanonical=!0;_sf_async_cc
KVBCHJC"].macro(28);_sf_async_config.authors=google_tag_manager["GTM-
KVBCHJC"].macro(29);_cbq=window._cbq=window._cbq||[];_cbq.push(["_acct",google_tag_manager["GTM-
KVBCHJC"].macro(30)]); (function(){var
a=document.createElement("script");a.setAttribute("language","javascript");a.setAttribute("type","text/javascript");a.setAttrr
());
```