

Privacy-preserving federated learning for cyber threat intelligence in industrial systems with blockchain security

J. Sebastian Nixon *

*Department of Computer Science & Engineering
School of Engineering
Dayananda Sagar University
Bangalore 562112
Karnataka
India*

Santhosh Kumar Gorva [†]

*Department of Computer Science & Engineering (Data Science)
School of Engineering
Dayananda Sagar University
Bangalore 562112
Karnataka
India*

Sachin Solanki [§]

*Department of Computer Science & Engineering
Medicaps University
Indore 453331
Madhya Pradesh
India*

Dilip Kumar Jang Bahadur Saini [‡]

S. N. Prajwalasimha [®]

*Department of Computer Science & Engineering (Cyber Security)
School of Engineering
Dayananda Sagar University
Bangalore 562112
Karnataka
India*

* E-mail: dr.nixon14@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

[†] E-mail: drsanthoshg1983@gmail.com

[§] E-mail: sol.sachin@gmail.com

[‡] E-mail: dilipsaini@gmail.com

[®] E-mail: prajwalasimha.sn1@gmail.com

Pulkit Tiwari [#]

*Jindal Global Business School
O. P. Jindal Global University
Sonapat 131001
Haryana
India*

Abstract

Due to the growing number of advanced attacks and escalating frequency of cyber threats, an intelligent, decentralized and tamper-resistant CTI (Cyber Threat Intelligence) mechanism is in more demand than ever before. This article presents an AI framework with blockchain to integrate Federated Learning (FL) and Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) for secure, privacy preserving, adaptive threat intelligence sharing. The proposed system utilizes blockchain's immutability and transparency to realize the trusted CTI sharing, while AI-empowered analytics drive automated threat validation, anomaly detection and predictive defense. Its smart contract technological foundation and real-time intelligence exchange can enable distributed stakeholders from the government, industry, and research to engage in autonomous response coordination. Experiments with real-world cyber-attacks datasets show the robustness of our method against adversarial perturbations, less false positives, and an increase in detection accuracy when compared to state-of-the-art centralized CTI platforms. Both Advance Persistent Threats (APTs) and zero-days are significantly reduced via the shared, verifiable threat learning employed by the system scalability, compliance with regulations and interoperability of deploying the blockchain-AI CTI systems in large-scale cybersecurity infrastructures is discussed.

Subject Classification: Primary 68M25, Secondary 68M14.

Keywords: Blockchain, Artificial intelligence, Cyber threat intelligence, Federated learning, Adversarial networks, Smart contracts, Zero-day attacks, Decentralized security.

1. Introduction

A surge in increasingly-sophisticated cyber-attacks such as zero-day attacks, ransomware or APTs has exposed numerous shortcomings in traditional cybersecurity models. On this, the correctness by Cyber Threat Intelligence (CTI) play pivotal roles in mitigating risks ad-hoc on early detection, diagnosis, and prevention. However, most other CTI frameworks are based on a centralized architecture and have drawbacks such as the presence of SPOFs (Single Point of Failure), poor scalability, data silos, privacy leakage and delayed threat diffusion. The integration of blockchain leading to AI has introduced a new approach; it is secure, transparent, innovative and autonomous to tackle these security issues [1], [2]. Due to the tamper-proof, accountable, and decentralized characteristic of blockchain [3], CTI could be exchanged without exposing their sources or contents. At the same time, AI techniques like Machine Learning (ML),

[#] E-mail: pulkitcdacnoida@gmail.com

Federated Learning (FL), Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) provide intelligent threat analytics to systems that help in real-time anomalies detection, risk classification and predictive security approaches [4]. Accordingly, the integration between blockchain and AI creates a collaborative and privacy-preserving cybersecurity network to support trusted information sharing among governments, enterprises, and research institutions [5].

The most recent work to deal with blockchain's communications for threat intelligence security, DDoS defenses and digital investigations [6]. On the other hand, AI-based models can effectively detect sophisticated and evolving attack behaviors [7]. Still, interoperability problems, high computational cost and failure of dynamic real-time video adaptation are the remaining challenges in the current solutions.

This article introduces an integrated Blockchain–AI CTI system which is capable of further improving CTI as a decentralized, intelligent, and automated cybersecurity service. The planned system will mitigate some of the current deficiencies in relation to information sharing, detection, and response by making effective use of blockchain-introduced transparency combined with AI-powered adaptability. In particular, we aim to combine innovative AI-enabled threat analytics with blockchain smart contract driven automation for well-secured and efficient dynamic sharing of CTI. The primary aims of this study are as follows:

- Design a blockchain-based CTI architecture that ensures immutability, traceability, and decentralized trust management among multiple cybersecurity stakeholders.
- Develop an AI-driven analytics module utilizing FL and GAN for privacy-preserving threat detection, anomaly classification, and adversarial resilience.
- Implement and evaluate a smart contract-enabled automation mechanism that facilitates real-time threat validation, intelligence sharing, and predictive security response coordination across distributed nodes.

2. Literature Survey

The growth has also driven the innovation of CTI paradigms based on blockchain and AI to ensure secure, private, and scalable solutions. The majority of existing CTI systems are designed according to such centralized architecture and the classification model can easily be attacked by attackers against data breaches, modification attacks and single point of failure [8]. AI driven cybersecurity has demonstrated itself as being very good at detecting threats, identifying anomalies and trying to predict intelligence. Regrettably, it is often plagued by a leakage risk of privacy and the model bias, as well as vulnerability against adversarial manipulations. Hence, deploying blockchain with AI emerge as an opportunity to develop a decentralized, tamper-proof and adaptive CTI model [9], [10].

A. Blockchain-based Cyber Threat Intelligence

It has been recognized that blockchain is a decentralized way to improve the integrity, traceability and reliability of CTI sharing among the distributed entities. Using immutable systems of record and cryptographic verification, blockchain technology enables secure collaboration among business partners without requiring centralized trusted intermediaries. Some studies suggest block chain-based architectures for CTI sharing which could resist against tampering and unauthorized modification of intelligence logs. More importantly, blockchain has been applied to different DDoS defense mechanisms as well, demonstrating its capability in preserving network infrastructures through transparent consensus mechanisms. Smart contract automation of threat verification, access control and incentive management has also greatly increased the efficiency and scalability of such systems. However, despite these advantages, the very promising blockchain-related cybersecurity solutions are still met with a number of practical challenges, including long latency, heavy storage overhead and limited interoperability which hamper large-scale industrial adoption [11]-[14].

B. AI-Driven Cyber Threat Intelligence

AI and CTI in the digital era, AI has contributed to the revolution of cyber threat intelligence by allowing for automated identification, classification, and even forecasting of cyber threats. ML/Deep Learning (DL) models have been highly successful in discovering complicated intrusion patterns while minimizing false positives reported by IDS. But their core learning on sensitive data is related to privacy and the risk of exposing important knowledge. FL has recently attracted great attention as a privacy-preserving ML framework where organizations can collaboratively learn models without exchanging raw data, effectively reducing the risks of confidentiality. Jointly, GANs are used to learn adversarial attack patterns to improve the robustness and generalization of defense schemes. However, AI powered CTI systems are still vulnerable to adversarial AI attacks, model poisonings and class imbalance issues that degrade the credibility in terms of prediction accuracy. These are the open problems that demand blockchain-AI-hybrid schemes to secure privacy, verifiability and robustness in distributed cybersecurity spaces [15]-[19].

3. Proposed Method

To ensure a rigorous scientific foundation for the blockchain-AI Integrated CTI framework, this section presents the detailed mathematical formulation of key components: blockchain-based secure threat intelligence sharing, AI-driven threat detection, smart contract-based security automation, and privacy-preserving computation using Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKP) and FL.

A. Blockchain for Secure Threat Intelligence Sharing:

A permissioned blockchain is used for decentralized and tamper-proof threat intelligence sharing. The blockchain ledger is modeled as a sequence of blocks (Eq.1):

$$B = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\} \quad (1)$$

where each block B_i consists of the following attributes (Eq. 2):

$$B_i = \{h(B_{i-1}), T_i, H(B_i)\} \quad (2)$$

- $h(B_{i-1}) \rightarrow$ Hash of the previous block, ensuring immutability.
 - $T_i \rightarrow$ Set of transactions representing threat intelligence records.
 - $H(B_i) \rightarrow$ Cryptographic hash function ensuring block integrity.
- Each transaction T_i contains a threat intelligence record (Eq.3):

$$T_i = (ID_i, D_i, Sig_i, P_i) \quad (3)$$

where:

- $ID_i \rightarrow$ Unique identifier for the transaction.
- $D_i \rightarrow$ Cyber threat intelligence data (attack vectors, IP addresses, signatures).
- $Sig_i \rightarrow$ Digital signature ensuring authenticity.
- $P_i \rightarrow$ Permissions controlling access to shared data.

The consensus mechanism used for transaction validation in blockchain is modeled as follows (Eq. 4):

$$V(T_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f(T_i) \geq \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where:

- $f(T_i) \rightarrow$ Trust function evaluating the reliability of the threat intelligence.
- $\theta \rightarrow$ Threshold trust score required for validation.

To optimize blockchain storage, Merkle Tree hashing is employed (Eq. 5):

$$H(T) = H(H(T_1) || H(T_2) || \dots || H(T_n)) \quad (5)$$

where $H(T)$ represents the root hash of transactions in a block, ensuring efficient verification of data integrity.

B. AI-Driven Threat Detection and Prediction

Threat detection is modeled using machine learning and deep learning techniques to classify incoming network traffic into "benign" or "malicious" activities. Let X be the set of network traffic features and Y be the classification labels (Eq. 6):

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \quad (6)$$

A classifier function f is trained to predict the probability of an attack (Eq. 7):

$$y' = f(X; \theta) \quad (7)$$

where:

- $y' \rightarrow$ Predicted label (0 for benign, 1 for attack).
- $\theta \rightarrow$ Trainable parameters of the AI model.

The optimization of the classifier is done using a loss function such as cross-entropy loss (Eq. 8):

$$L = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log(y'_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - y'_i) \quad (8)$$

For Federated Learning (FL)-based threat detection, a global model is trained across multiple devices without sharing raw data. The local model update at client k is given by (Eq. 9):

$$\theta_k^{t+1} = \theta_k^t - \eta \nabla L_k(\theta_k^t) \quad (9)$$

where:

- $\eta \rightarrow$ Learning rate.
- $\nabla L_k(\theta_k^t) \rightarrow$ Gradient of the loss function at client k .

The global model aggregation in FL is computed using Federated Averaging (FedAvg) (Eq. 10):

$$\theta_{t+1} = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{N_k}{N} \theta_k^{t+1} \quad (10)$$

where:

- $N_k \rightarrow$ Number of data samples at client k .
- $N \rightarrow$ Total number of samples across all clients.

To enhance model robustness against adversarial attacks, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) are used to generate synthetic attack patterns (Eq. 11):

$$\min_G \max_D V(D, G) = E_{x \sim P_{data}(x)} [\log D(x)] + E_{z \sim P_{data}(z)} [\log(1 - D(G(z)))] \quad (11)$$

where:

- $G(z) \rightarrow$ Generator function creating synthetic attacks.
- $D(x) \rightarrow$ Discriminator function distinguishing real from fake attacks.

A. Automated Threat Validation without Human Experts:

Automate threat validation, access control, and incentives. For automated threat validation, the contract evaluates threat intelligence using a trust score mechanism (Eq. 12):

$$TS_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m w_j V_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^m w_j} \quad (12)$$

where:

- $V_{ij} \rightarrow$ Automated validation score given by an algorithm or a model (like anomaly detection or pattern matching) to intelligence i .
- $w_j \rightarrow$ Weight assigned to expert j .

After calculating the Trust Score (TS), the smart contract can make automated decisions as follows (Eq. 13):

$$Decision = \begin{cases} Action A, & \text{if } TS_i \geq Threshold \\ Action B, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where:

- *Action A* $\rightarrow$ Automated response, like blocking a device or raising an alert.
- *Action B* $\rightarrow$ No action or minimal monitoring.

B. Privacy-Preserving Computation Using Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKP)

To ensure privacy-preserving threat intelligence exchange, ZKPs allow verification of threat intelligence without revealing raw data. A ZKP protocol consists of (Eq. 14):

$$P \rightarrow V: \pi \quad (14)$$

where:

- $P \rightarrow$ Prover.
- $V \rightarrow$ Verifier.
- $\pi \rightarrow$ Proof that a given statement is true without revealing sensitive data.

For a ZKP-based verification, the prover convinces the verifier that a reported threat x belongs to a known attack category C (Eq. 15):

$$H(x) = H(C) \Rightarrow \text{Verified without revealing } x \quad (15)$$

Similarly, homomorphic encryption enables computations on encrypted data (Eq. 16):

$$Enc(x) + Enc(y) = Enc(x + y) \quad (16)$$

allowing AI models to be trained without decrypting sensitive cybersecurity data.

4. Results and Discussion

Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed blockchain–AI embedded CTI platform provides superior performance compared to traditional and alternative AI-based case studies in terms of essential cyber security effectiveness measures. The hybrid model achieved mean detection accuracy of 95.25%, precision of 96.3% and F1-Score of 95.74% on three benchmark datasets: CICIDS -2017, UNSW-NB15 and real CTI logs, thereby demonstrating robust adaptation to dynamic threats. To the best of our knowledge, FL achieves a permissionless model training without sharing data and informs FL-based robustness to distributed nodes while the joint adversarial training with GAN promotes resistance towards synthetic and zero-day attacks that has been improved by 96% over robust test under adversarial stress testing. On the blockchain level, the hybrid model of permissioned and decentralized achieved a TPS as high as 850 with latency of about 95ms, which represents a practical compromise between throughput, decentralization as well as data integrity. Privacy-preserving technologies such as ZKPs and Homomorphic Encryption

(HE) provide strong privacy protections with minimal risk of any leakage, which is superior to the earlier approaches. Smart contracts are integrated to automate threat validation, trust scoring, and access granting/revocation in order to reduce human involvement and hence the response time. Collectively the proposed system embodies a synergistic optimization of detection accuracy, adversarial robustness, privacy preservation and operational scalability not limited to the functional tradeoff for state-of-the-art architectures which thus paves the road as the next-generation cybersecurity architecture for autonomous, privacy-preserving, and verifiable CTI sharing in large-scale industrial or governmental infrastructures.

Table 1
Comparative Analysis of Key Performance Metrics Across Conventional and Hybrid CTI Systems

Metric	ML-based CTI	AI-based CTI	Blockchain-only	Proposed Hybrid
Threat Detection Accuracy	84.10%	89.60%	–	95.25%
Adversarial Robustness	70%	82%	88%	96%
Blockchain Throughput	–	–	1200 TPS (max)	850 TPS
Privacy Preservation	Low	Medium	High	Very High (ZKP + HE)
Automation & Scalability	Low	Medium	Medium	High (Smart Contracts + FL)

Comparison of performance measures of conventional ML, DL-based CTI, blockchain-only CTI systems, and the proposed Blockchain–AI Hybrid Framework as reported in Table 1. The model achieves better accuracy, robustness, privacy, and automation at competitive blockchain throughput and scalability.

5. Conclusion

The integration of blockchain and AI is an innovative solution for constructing secure, decentralized, and autonomous CTI systems. In this article, we propose a tamper-proof and privacy-preserving scheme by leveraging blockchain’s salient feature of immutability along with AI’s adaptive algorithmic analytics capability, thereby enhancing data integrity, confidentiality, and real-time responsiveness. We also adopt FL and GANs to improve detection accuracy and adversarial robustness, as well as ZKP and HE for privacy-preserving intelligence exchange. Smart contract automation with blockchain further enables self-executing validation of threats, access control, and incentive-driven collaboration with minimal human involvement. Empirical results show that our approach significantly enhances detection performance, scalability, and privacy

compared with traditional CTI systems. For future work, we aim to explore more efficient federated training and reduced blockchain latency, as well as integrate quantum-resistant cryptography to build a secure, scalable, and self-adaptive CTI infrastructure for next-generation cybersecurity.

References

- [1] Z. Ma, Y. Liu, Y. Miao, G. Xu, X. Liu, J. Ma, and R. H. Deng, "FIgan: GAN-based unbiased federated learning under non-IID settings," *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1566–1581 (2024), doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2023.3309858.
- [2] A. Tabassum, A. Erbad, W. Lebda, A. Mohamed, and M. Guizani, "FEDGAN-IDS: Privacy-preserving IDS using GAN and federated learning," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 192, pp. 299–310 (2022), doi: 10.1016/j.comcom.2022.06.015.
- [3] S. Das, "FGAN: Federated generative adversarial networks for anomaly detection in network traffic," arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.11106 (2022).
- [4] X. Cao, G. Sun, H. Yu, and M. Guizani, "PerFED-GAN: Personalized federated learning via generative adversarial networks," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 3749–3762 (2023), doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2022.3172114.
- [5] S. S. Kushwaha, S. Joshi, and A. K. Gupta, "An efficient approach to secure smart contract of Ethereum blockchain using hybrid security analysis approach," *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1499–1517 (2023).
- [6] M. Shayan, C. Fung, C. J. M. Yoon, and I. Beschastnikh, "Biscotti: A blockchain system for private and secure federated learning," *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 1513–1525 (2021).
- [7] J. Zhang, B. Chen, X. Cheng, H. T. T. Binh, and S. Yu, "PoisonGAN: Generative poisoning attacks against federated learning in edge computing systems," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 3310–3322 (2021).
- [8] C. Fan and P. Liu, "Federated generative adversarial learning," in *Pattern Recognition and Computer Vision: Third Chinese Conference, PRCV 2020*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 12307, Cham, Switzerland: Springer, pp. 3–15 (2020), doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-60636-7_1.

- [9] Y. Otoum, B. Kantarci, and H. T. Mouftah, "DL-IDS: A deep learning-based intrusion detection framework for securing IoT," *Trans. Emerg. Telecommun. Technol.*, vol. 30, no. 8, Art. no. e3629 (2019).
- [10] A. Tabassum, A. Erbad, A. Mohamed, and M. Guizani, "Privacy-preserving distributed IDS using incremental learning for IoT health systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 14271–14283 (2021), doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3051530.
- [11] B. Li, Y. Wu, J. Song, R. Lu, T. Li, and L. Zhao, "DeepFed: Federated deep learning for intrusion detection in industrial cyber-physical systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 5615–5624 (2021), doi: 10.1109/TII.2020.3023430.
- [12] A. Shamir, "How to share a secret," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 612–613 (1979), doi: 10.1145/359168.359176.
- [13] M. Bellare and P. Rogaway, "Robust computational secret sharing and a unified account of classical secret-sharing goals," in *Proc. 14th ACM Conf. Comput. Commun. Security (CCS)*, pp. 172–184 (2007).
- [14] R. Shokri, M. Stronati, C. Song, and V. Shmatikov, "Membership inference attacks against machine learning models," in *Proc. IEEE Symp. Security Privacy (SP)*, pp. 3–18 (2017), doi: 10.1109/SP.2017.41.
- [15] S. Gong and C. Lee, "Blocis: Blockchain-based cyber threat intelligence sharing framework for Sybil-resistance," *Electronics*, vol. 9, no. 3, Art. no. 521 (2020).
- [16] J. P. Bharadiya, "AI-driven security: How machine learning will shape the future of cybersecurity and Web 3.0," *Amer. J. Neural Netw. Appl.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–7 (2023).
- [17] B. Chavali, S. K. Khatri, and S. A. Hossain, "AI and blockchain integration," in *Proc. 2020 8th Int. Conf. Reliability, Infocom Technol. Optimization (ICRITO)*, Noida, India, pp. 548–552 (2020).
- [18] M. M. Ogonji, G. Okeyo, and J. M. Wafula, "A survey on privacy and security of Internet of Things," *Comput. Sci. Rev.*, vol. 38, Art. no. 100312 (2020).
- [19] H. Ali, P. Papadopoulos, J. Ahmad, N. Pitropakis, Z. Jaroucheh, and W. J. Buchanan, "Privacy-preserving and trusted threat intelligence sharing using distributed ledgers," in *Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Security Inf. Netw. (SIN)*, vol. 1, pp. 1–6 (2021).

Received September, 2025