




CHALLENGING PATRIARCHAL NORMS AND EXPLORING QUEER DESIRE IN DEEPA MEHTA'S *FIRE*: A FEMINIST AND SOCIO-CULTURAL ANALYSIS OF GENDER ROLES, IDENTITY, AND RESISTANCE IN 1990S INDIA

Sakkcham Singh Parmaar 

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Author Details: 2nd Year Student,
B.A. LLB. (Hons.),
Jindal Global Law School,
O.P. Jindal Global University,
Haryana, India

Corresponding Author: Sakkcham
Singh Parmaar

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.240206018>

Abstract

This research article takes a feminist, socio-cultural perspective on Deepa Mehta's *Fire*—the Indian film which shook patriarchal roots and transcended perspectives from-the-indian-film-standpoint as far as queer desire goes in the socio-political atmosphere-in India. Radha and Sita are the two protagonist women of the film who journey through love, identity, and resistance to things within a patriarchal-hegemonic then normative framework. It critiques the stereotypical representation of women in conventional cinema whereby they are relegated to the status of subservient wives and mothers through a storytelling of roles crammed with notions of gender and society. The article uses works of feminist theorists such as Emily Martin, Nivedita Menon, and Gayle Rubin to explore intersectionality, reproductive health, and sexual politics for a critical analysis. The story line of *Fire* bears the hallmark of feminism, as it strikes a chord with the idea of desire as well as women's agency, thus assuming the form of a revolutionary text on the redefinition of love as well as self-expression in opposition to repressive cultural norms. Not only does this paper locate *Fire* within wider discourses on caste and class in queer readings, but it also makes apparent the intersection of those dynamics with gender and sexuality. In the same breath, comparisons with films such as *Section 376D* give reflections on the changing representation of marginalized identities in Indian cinema, thereby taking a stand in favour of legal and social reforms for inclusivity and justice for all genders. This reveals the continuing importance of *Fire* in discussions about feminism, queer identity, and politics of representation in Indian society.

Keywords: *Feminism, Queer Identity, Patriarchy, Intersectionality, Indian Cinema*

"A Global Conversation on Faith and LGBT Needs to Begin Opening Up to Complex Questions" ~ Giti Thadani – she is an author with a wide body of work concerning sex, politics, and religion. Sakhiyani: Lesbian Desire in Ancient and Modern India attempts to chronicle the historical existence of lesbian desire in India, which has always been hypocritically looked upon as a Western import (Giti Thadani: "A Global Conversation on Faith and LGBT Needs to Begin Opening up to Complex Questions," n.d.).

Introduction

The 1996 Indian movie *Fire* made by Deepa Mehta charts the evolution of Indian cinema. It's this place where Indian cinema dares to embrace and promote female sexuality while at the same time condemning the persistent sexist ideologies of contemporary Indian society. At the point when it could be said that homosexuality was not only taboo but even rejected outright and stroke anyone who dared to express such tendencies, *Fire* brazenly dared to even talk about lesbianism, making us aware disturbingly of such issues that have always conveniently locked up women's opinions. The film's storyline depicts the complex relationships of the central characters, Radha and Sita, who are forced to marry and remain unhappy in the traditional sense yet manage to share tenderness and warmth in the harsh reality of the world around them.

Mehta's narrative engages with womanism as it contests the precepts that shape women's identities as well as explores queer desire that showcases the normal-valued dichotomy of heterosexuality and homosexuality in both the Indian and Western cultures. The characters are usually positioned in a domestic space which is understood as hetero-sexualizing the film content to provoke gender relations, cultural traditions, and individual aspirations. '*Fire*', thus emerges as a revisionist text and hence

facilitates a discussion of feminism and more specifically, the politics of feminism in situations where women's wishes and wants are generally ignored.

Feminist and queer viewing of the film then is double fold: it tries to indicate the characteristics of the creative English-speaking context, which produced this socio-cultural phenomenon of the contemporary cinema, as well as make a plea for tolerance to the different sexual orientations by the society of the time in question. The memory of *Fire*, which is difficult to classify due to the controversy it aroused, emphasizes one more aspect, women's desire for love and self-expression against a restrictive patriarchal society.

Stereotypes and Representation of Gender

In her essay, "The Egg and the Sperm," sociologist Emily Martin critiques science as a narrative full of gender stereotypes and states we have to work to disregard such biological narratives about gendered psychology and gendered behaviour. In this context, sperm is usually described to be active and full of life and vigour while the egg is vulnerable and lifeless. This type of estrange reminds us of the cinematic representation of the male and female in several of the traditional texts and movies where the active and decision-making characters are always males (*A Humble Congratulations on the "Egg and the Sperm," n.d.*).

Women's Liberation and Feminism in Indian Cinema: An Analysis through Films like 'Fire', There are Sita and Radha who knock these stereotypes down. "The women characters are breaking the limitations imposed upon Indian women by the film industry instead of turning into typical self-sacrificing devoted wives encumbered by marriage with children". They reject the stereotype of women as only mothers and obedient wives to their husbands tempted by motherhood, agreeing with what Martin says on the conflict in the minds of the scientists as regards women and men's roles and relations in society as seen in science.

Through showing two women who engage in a sexually repressed yet heated relationship, Mehta falls back on femaleness and refuses to be cast in the conventional manner that showcases women. This allows for women to possess again control over the narrations, which has been portrayed in a different way before, where the narrations have twisted out women and their wants. This corresponds with the feminist theory that all the constructions and representations of women in science and movies should be resurrected with a new conscience (*A Humble Congratulations on the "Egg and the Sperm," n.d.*).

Feminism and Intersectionality

In her book, *Seeing Like a Feminist*, Nivedita Menon asserts that feminism can no longer be generalized, but must consider such complex factors as class, caste, and sexuality in women's lives. According to Menon, "feminist discourse cannot be a cookie-cutter fitted to every graduating class". Women come from different socio-economic backgrounds and therefore have different realities (*Seeing Like a Feminist, n.d.*).

Fire fits this framework of intersectionality by introducing characters dealing with oppression on several levels. "Infertile Radha, and Sita, marginalized by her husband, both reveal society's expectations over and above their issues". Even if it is, Sita who feels discriminated the most by society's beliefs of how a woman should behave with her husband, it is also how they relate to other women and to the world around them that creates conflict.

In the course of the film, the bond between Sita and Radha extends to an affair forged not only in defiance of their spouses but also in reclamation of who the two women are as individuals within the existing social order. This dynamic is consistent with Menon's argument that feminism has to be all-inclusive and that recognition of different struggles is a must. The film vividly illustrates women developing affection for each other and the place of this woman's love in the patriarchal society forms the basis of women's relationships connected with the idea of women's role in society (*Seeing Like a Feminist, n.d.*).

The Politics of Sexuality

In the article "Thinking Sex," Gayle Rubin analyses the mechanisms of society that seek to restrain and control the expression of people's sexualities. She observes that in most cultures, stories that permit sexual relationships, tend to portray characters who are not in heterosexual relationships, as misfit and heterosexuality is upheld as the norm. This idea is useful in analyzing the resistance in *Fire*, as Mehta shows Sita and Radha's developing bond as a revolt against societal structure and not just an infraction towards marriage.

"The film also explores the actively eroticized and disregarded representation of Same-Sex love, a stance that is very different from how tragic punishment and stigmatisation have marked depictions of lesbians throughout the Indian film industry". Rather, *Fire* talks about female desire as something that exists in its own right, arguing for the right to be and sexual politics that respects individual self-definition.

This can also be seen in the manner this film approaches the two female protagonists where the elements of their sexual relationships do not require a male point of view. What these women want is not simply to get away from marriage. Through their desire, they reveal the potential of women's sexuality to free them from the existing order. As such, the work emerges as

a revolutionary document that fundamentally redefines the very approach to the topics of sexuality and sexual politics in the Indian context, reminding of the aspirations of Rubin ("Review: [Untitled] on JSTOR," n.d.).

Traditional Attitudes Toward Reproductive Health

In her essay "If Men Could Menstruate", Gloria Steinem exposes various societal attitudes towards menstruation based on differential power relations with women holding an inferior position. Steinem proposes that in a world where men menstruate, menstruation would not be regarded as an inconvenience but rather an enhancement, therefore suggesting a reverse hierarchy to the prevailing view.

In the film, *Fire*, the theme of women's reproductive health is salient in the development of Radha's character as she is faced with "the issue of being unable to conceive", which becomes a bone of contention between her and her husband. Ashok's obsession with childbirth and childrearing shines a light on the societal constraints that are placed on women, determining their value on their capacity to reproduce. In the film, Mehta continues to elaborate on the issues raised by Steinem, offering a voice not only to the oppression of women's history but the limiting attitudes toward female reproductive health as well.

The movie shows the emotional and psychological pain of infertility in a society that places great value on motherhood as the only purpose of a woman. At the same time, it shows the possibilities of immense love and self-discovery beyond the limits imposed by society. Eventually, when Radha is drawn to Sita, it is a reminder that people need not adhere to expectations of what is basic to other people's relations, that there can be enjoyment and construction of middle identities without having to stick to any particular gender or even reproductive achievements (*Gloria Steinem if Men Could Menstruate Analysis - 723 Words Bartleby*, n.d.).

The Idea of Love and Self

Dhrubo Jyoti's *A Letter to My Lover(s)* explores love and identity in their different relations with desire and culture (Roy, 2018). Jyoti maintains that love cannot exist separately from the context in which it is imagined, especially in the case of Radha and Sita, where the two women share a strikingly different narrative of love. "This relationship, rather, becomes the antithesis of the traditional love prevalent in Indian occasions, which upholds heterosexuality as the primary and obligatory form of attraction, which is often denied by the stereotypical society in India".

As it progresses, the themes of love and self, become attached as the two protagonists try to navigate their feelings for each other without compromising on their personal and family obligations. Their relationship has an element of power, so, they can pursue who they want to be without the restrictions of their marriages.

Experiences of Sexuality and their Established Institutions

In his study of same-sex desire in modern India, Akhil Katyal discusses how people strive to achieve their extremities in such a society. In the film *Fire*, there are elements of Sita and Radha's relationship that uniquely explore muscular desire in a society where such relations are otherwise uncompromised. Katyal's discussion on love and self-identification helps one see how in 'Fire' queer experiences are depicted as both valid and very natural for human beings (*The Doubleness of Sexuality: Idioms of Same-sex Desire in Modern India: Katyal, Akhil, Author: Free Download, Borrow, and Streaming: Internet Archive*, 2016).

The film's plot does not only involve a love story, it realises the experience of two women who are trying to find their place in a society where traditional norms are present. The romantic bond is created out of desire as well as some external and internal demands, which blurs the boundaries of the concept of love. The usual portrayals, which tend to mislead viewers' expectations by presenting an unrealistic aspect of queer relationships, have no basis in *Fire*. This film shows the pleasure and hardship of building relationships where such relationships are frowned upon and silenced.

Katyal's arguments raise the stakes in the discourse of representation of sexual minorities in film, calling for the employment of strategies that address the realities of such terrain. Indeed, this film has not achieved much in its sociological accomplishment, holding very high tolerance of the queer experience and urging its acceptance in society, while deconstructing the simplistic notions of love, identity and societal pressures, that are often held (*The Doubleness of Sexuality: Idioms of Same-sex Desire in Modern India: Katyal, Akhil, Author: Free Download, Borrow, and Streaming: Internet Archive*, 2016).

Cultural Critiques and Caste-Based Manipulations in Forged Queer Dialogues Amidst Hetero-Normativity in the Society

The critique of caste within the LGBTQ+ discourse as highlighted by Kang and Sahai shows that discussing and internalizing queer identities is complicated under traditional modernism. In the movie *Fire*, while Sita and Radha's relationship is a metaphor portraying an extreme break from the conventions of society, the core focus of their conflict is highlighted by the complexities of caste that define their relationship and their place (Kang, 2020).

As it is noted by Kang and Sahai in India in general and in Indian cinema in particular, discussions about the lesbian and gay, bisexual, transgender, queer & intersex (LGBTQ+) identities of individuals or communities seldom highlight caste and often class, this gives a rather twisted view of any queer community's experiences. Therefore, Fire can be considered as one of the films that encourage the consideration of them, providing a glimpse of the social hierarchies that so many wish to believe cognate hinders the advancement of queer relationships.

In the heterosexual framework of the world that Sita and Radha occupy, falling in love comes with complications, but they also have been born into a caste that imposes certain restrictions. This film presents this battle by demonstrating that the very relationship that society people face is challenging when the individuals must restrain themselves to certain limits for that relationship to be accepted, therefore, caste in India is relevant in all circumstances (Kang, 2020).

Another example from Indian cinema (Movie: 376D)

In their respective stories, Fire and Section 376 D present a strong assertion toward the patriarchal structures and representation of gender and sexuality in the society of India.

"Deepa Mehta's Fire broke stereotypes of Indian cinema in the 1990s by daring to discuss female sexuality and lesbianism in an Indian society that is heavily repressive". It is a gendered critique of societal expectations that women perform, as the relationship between Radha and Sita battles patriarchy by reclaiming female love, desire, and identity. The film acknowledges differences and uses them not only as a category of gender but also as caste and class that affects the characters' quest for freedom and self-definition.

Similarly, Section 376 D takes on the issue of male rape, an unexplored topic in the Indian context as well, due to the absence of political or legal discourse on the subject. Portraying male victims in the film also challenges the narrow view of rape legislation currently in place, which does not recognize all forms of rape, including those suffered by men. Furthermore, it emphasizes that there is a need to change the law for the benefit of both men and women where no one is sexually assaulted irrespective of their gender (Parmaar, 2024).

These two are distinct films that fight against heteronormativity and patriarchy, the former presents fighting back and embracing the sexualities deemed taboo by society while the latter critiques the oppressive nature of society's norms. While Fire offers a depiction of how women resist rigid gender and sexual context, Section 376 D calls for acceptance and tolerance within the law and society regarding sexual violence against men. Both issue a call for extending the discussion on gender and sexuality, and more importantly, resistance amid oppressive patriarchy.

Conclusion

Finally, Deepa Mehta's Fire can be discussed as a new or experimental movie, which defies, all the existing cultural norms governing not only gendered expectations but also heterosexuality itself in India in the 1990s. Looking at the love between Radha and Sita, the film defies the rigid confines of acceptable female behaviour, which expects women to be gentle and submissive, and asserts the right to female passion, affection, and creativity. It also tackles caste and class issues as well, making it a sophisticated commentary on Indian society. In similarity with such films as Section 376 D, it expands the discourse on 'gender and sexuality' and its legal implications as well as the geographical and ideological oppression of the Others.

Acknowledgement: No

Authors Contribution: Sakcham Singh Parmaar: Data Collection, Literature Review, Methodology, Analysis, Drafting, Referencing

Funding: No funding.

Declarations/Consent for Publication: Not Applicable

Competing Interest: No

References:

1. *A humble congratulations on the "egg and the sperm."* (n.d.). The LASSIS Annual. <https://artsandsciencereview.ocadu.ca/issue/2020-2021/humble-congratulations-egg-and-sperm>
2. *Giti Thadani: "A global conversation on faith and LGBT needs to begin opening up to complex questions."* (n.d.). <https://www.salzburgglobal.org/news/latest-news/article/giti-thadani-a-global-conversation-on-faith-and-lgbt-needs-to-begin-opening-up-to-complex-questions>
3. *Gloria Steinem If Men Could Menstruate Analysis - 723 words | Bartleby.* (n.d.). <https://www.bartleby.com/essay/Gloria-Steinem-If-Men-Could-Menstruate-Analysis-483430F5B06FF550#:~:text=She%20essentially%20presents%20a%20mental%20image%20of%20a%20world%20in%20which%20men%20have%20a%20menstrual,Men%20would>

4. Kang, A. (2020). Guruswamy and Katju, Your rainbow doesn't hide your casteism. *Cornell*. https://www.academia.edu/44434876/Guruswamy_and_Katju_Your_rainbow_doesnt_hide_your_
5. Parmaar, S. S. (2024, August 3). Breaking the Silence: The Urgent Need for Inclusive Rape Laws in India to Protect Men-Insights from the. *The Dialogue Box*. <https://thediologuebox.com/section-376d-movie-review/>
6. Review: [Untitled] on JSTOR. (n.d.). *www.jstor.org*. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24741281?seq=1>
7. Roy, D. (2018, June 16). The warp of love meets the weft of identity in this anthology of essays about Love (not love). *Scroll. In*. <https://scroll.in/article/882775/the-warp-of-love-meets-the-weft-of-identity-in-this-anthology-of-essays-about-love-not-love>
8. *Seeing like a feminist*. (n.d.). Goodreads. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/17250090-seeing-like-a-feminist>
9. *The doubleness of sexuality: idioms of same-sex desire in modern India: Katyal, Akhil, author: Free Download, Borrow, and Streaming: Internet Archive*. (2016). Internet Archive. <https://archive.org/details/doublenessofsexu0000katy/page/n7/mode/2up>

Publisher's Note

The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published data, map and institutional affiliations.

©The Author(s) 2024. Open Access.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>